Dear Charles,

This letter is prompted by the early-morning news report that Brainer, your current most-famous guest, has resumed reading the book "Into the Night".

Although I am sure your people have only cordial interest in Brainer, I nonetheless take an offer than can or cannot be rejected, as someone there put it. I have no desire to intrude myself into this case, nor to write about it since I haven't already. What I will offer, if accepted, can not hurt the prosecution, which, although it does not assist the case, can perhaps help resolve the kinds of doubts that will linger in this case if only because his money can't be explained. It may be of value to the defense, for the court-appointed lawyer can't possibly have, or learn, even if he reads the book, the kinds of things that could be involved in Brainer's interest in it.

I am familiar with that and other books similar in ways that can't be clear to either side in the case. In fact, I'll be able to lead those I haven't already known out to either or both sides, if either has interest.

No, if Brainer's lawyer and whoever else would have to approve agree, and if Brainer wants to talk to someone familiar with all the political assassinations, I'd be willing to take the time, my conditions would be simple and few.

First, that unless he wants otherwise or Brainer would be unnecessary or desirable otherwise, his lawyer be present, next, that it be taped, unless or so, and next that the tape be restricted to the defense unless the defense lawyer decides otherwise. (That means none of Brainer's skills, which I do not offset or equal to picking the conversations up.) I could have some for the defense, and of these most important task in the presence or the learning to the tapes of a psychiatrist or psychologist or both whose experience include hypnotism and what is related.

I'd be willing to discuss the book and what is involved that is not in the book with either the prosecutor or the defense counsel. I think the interests of justice are served by both sides understanding what can be involved here, whether or not it is, and I can suggest him of the assassinations to be cleared up with no reasonable lingering doubts. Fully one can't be avoided, but reasonable ones can at least be advanced. The scene things that the prosecution may not have to address in court.

I have no doubts that Brainer is mentally ill. From the first minute I suspected, he would be reading some such book. I can refer you to the reporter friend closer to Milwaukee than I whose paper had a run at the scene who I asked have that can check the public library to see what books Brainer had out. The one I thought most likely was wrong, but the immediate belief that he has an assassination book was correct. The second book, for your understanding, is not wire in any kind of here, so I understand it. I haven't read it.

Keller's theory is more reasonable and has a better basis in established possibility than in unreasoned, he was too timid, didn't carry it far enough. This was even more true of the criminalist shrink, blumson, who was more imaginative than least. The question of conspiracy, as of hypnotism, is going to linger in this case, regardless of the decision, which would soon to be obvious.

I don't know how to approach the defense lawyer, although I suppose I could find him through the phone book. But I wouldn't if there were any objection from your people. So, if they have no objection, would you forward this to him or ask reason to give it to him when he visits his client? We can then ask you anything he wants about me.

You forgot the last of the "nutcrack stuff" when you were here.

Sincerely, Harold Weinberg